

Infinite Quantum Group Symmetry of Fields in Massive 2D Quantum Field Theory

André LeClair

Newman Laboratory
Cornell University
Ithaca, NY 14853

and

F. A. Smirnov

Leningrad Branch of the Steklov Mathematical Institute
Fontanka 27, Leningrad 191011, USSR

Starting from a given S-matrix of an integrable quantum field theory in $1 + 1$ dimensions, and knowledge of its on-shell quantum group symmetries, we describe how to extend the symmetry to the space of fields. This is accomplished by introducing an adjoint action of the symmetry generators on fields, and specifying the form factors of descendents. The braiding relations of quantum field multiplets is shown to be given by the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix. We develop in some detail the case of infinite dimensional Yangian symmetry. We show that the quantum double of the Yangian is a Hopf algebra deformation of a level zero Kac-Moody algebra that preserves its finite dimensional Lie subalgebra. The fields form infinite dimensional Verma-module representations; in particular the energy-momentum tensor and isotopic current are in the same multiplet.

1. Introduction

The study of quantum field theory in 1+1 spacetime dimensions can provide important insight into the structure of quantum field theory in general. Of particular interest are the exactly solvable massive models, which are integrable Hamiltonian systems. In many cases, the exact on-shell S-matrices are known. (For some well-known examples see [1] .) The exact form factors for some fields in many of the models have also been computed [2] . Despite the integrability of the models, many of their important properties, most notably their correlation functions, remain unknown.

More recently it has been recognized that the massive integrable quantum field theories are to a large extent characterized by quantum group symmetries, which can serve to define the theory non-perturbatively [3][4] [5] . The quantum group symmetry algebras of interest are infinite dimensional, and non-abelian. Explicit currents that generate a q-deformation of affine Kac-Moody algebras [6] [7] were constructed in the sine-Gordon theory and its generalization to imaginary coupling affine Toda theory [5], and shown to completely characterize the S-matrices. At a specific value of the coupling constant where the theory is invariant with respect to a finite dimensional Lie algebra G , these theories are the Gross-Neveu models, and there the infinite dimensional symmetry becomes the Yangian of Drinfel'd, which contains G as a subalgebra. The non-local conserved currents that generate this symmetry were actually constructed some time ago by Lüscher[8] . However the connection of these currents to Yangians was only recently recognized by Bernard [4].

The existence of these infinite dimensional symmetries leads to the idea that these models may be solvable in the spirit that the conformal field theories are solved [9] . In this methodology the emphasis is on how the fields realize infinite dimensional Verma-module representations of the symmetry algebra.

In this work, assuming the exact S-matrix and its on-shell symmetry for a general model are given, we describe how the symmetry can be extended off-shell to the space of fields. We begin by defining an adjoint action of the symmetry algebra on fields, which generalizes the notion of commutator one encounters for ordinary symmetries, to an arbitrary quantum group symmetry. Form factors of 'descendent' fields are shown to be explicitly computable from the form factors of 'ancestor' fields. The braiding of fields in the multiplets obtained by adjoint action is given by the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix of the quantum group. A result of this kind was also found for the finite dimensional quantum group symmetry of conformal field theory by Gomez and Sierra[10].

The example we develop in some detail, which displays all of the interesting issues involved, is characterized by $sl(2)$ Yangian symmetry. As mentioned above, these models can be formulated as the G -invariant Gross-Neveu model [11], where G is here taken to be $sl(2)$. This model can also be formulated in a way more suitable for our discussion as a massive current-current perturbation of the G -invariant Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov (WZWN) model at level $k = 1$, with the action

$$S = S_G^{\text{level } 1} + g \int d^2x J^a(x) \bar{J}^a(x), \quad (1.1)$$

where $J^a, \bar{J}^a$ are the level 1 Kac-Moody currents of the WZWN conformal field theory S_G . For this model, we are able to construct infinite dimensional multiplets through adjoint action. The derivative of the $sl(2)$ current is actually a descendent of the energy-momentum tensor. We construct the appropriate braiding matrix by studying the quantum double for the Yangian. These braiding matrices are infinite dimensional.

It is interesting to compare some of the features of the massive versus the conformal theory. The conformal model described by S_G can be completely solved using its Kac-Moody symmetry [12] [13], where the Kac-Moody generators are modes of the currents, $J_n^a = \oint \frac{dz}{2\pi i} z^n J^a(z)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. These generators satisfy the algebra

$$[J_n^a, J_m^b] = f^{abc} J_{n+m}^c + \frac{1}{2} k n \delta^{ab} \delta_{n,-m}, \quad (1.2)$$

The full symmetry of the conformal model also includes an additional antiholomorphic Kac-Moody symmetry generated by $\bar{J}_n^a = \oint \frac{d\bar{z}}{2\pi i} \bar{z}^n \bar{J}^a(\bar{z})$. As we will describe, the Yangian algebra of the massive theory is a deformation of a Kac-Moody algebra that preserves its finite dimensional Lie subalgebra G . However the Yangian symmetry does not appear to be related in any simple way to the Kac-Moody symmetry of the conformal model. In fact, setting the deformation parameter of the Yangian to zero one recovers a level 0 Kac-Moody algebra. This zero value for the level is a general feature of the infinite dimensional algebras relevant for the massive theories. Indeed the theories with q -deformed affine Kac-Moody symmetry, which the Yangian invariant theories are a limiting case of, also have zero level [5].

This zero value of the level has a physical explanation. Level zero Kac-Moody algebras (sometimes called loop algebras) possess finite dimensional representations. In conformal field theory, since there is a one-to-one correspondence between fields and states, a non-zero level is required for the infinite dimensional representations corresponding to the fields. In

contrast, for massive models, if the symmetry algebra commutes with the particle number operator, then the symmetry algebra must possess finite dimensional representations on the space of particle states, since the number of states at fixed particle number is finite. Thus, assuming that at least some of the representations of the Kac-Moody algebra can be deformed into representations of the Yangian, the level must be zero. However, in distinction with conformal field theory, since there is not this correspondence between states and fields in massive theory, the fields of the theory may still form distinct infinite dimensional representations.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first section we review some aspects of the theory of quantum groups that we will need. The general, model independent formalism is presented in section 3. The example of Yangian symmetry is treated in section 4.

2. Quantum Groups

In this section we review some general aspects of the theory of quantum groups, following mainly the presentation of Drinfel'd [14] and Faddeev, Reshetikhin, and Takhtajan [15] .

Quantum groups are non-trivial examples of Hopf algebras. Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote such a Hopf algebra. It is equipped with a multiplication map $m : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, a comultiplication $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, antipode $s : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, and counit $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is the complex numbers. We suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ contains the unit element 1, with $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$, $s(1) = \varepsilon(1) = 1$. These operations have the following properties:

$$m(a \otimes 1) = m(1 \otimes a) = a \tag{2.1a}$$

$$m(m \otimes id) = m(id \otimes m) \tag{2.1b}$$

$$(\Delta \otimes id)\Delta = (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta \tag{2.1c}$$

$$\Delta(a)\Delta(b) = \Delta(ab) \tag{2.1d}$$

$$m(s \otimes id)\Delta(a) = m(id \otimes s)\Delta(a) = \varepsilon(a) \cdot 1 \tag{2.1e}$$

$$s(ab) = s(b)s(a) \tag{2.1f}$$

$$\Delta s = (s \otimes s)P\Delta \tag{2.1g}$$

$$(\varepsilon \otimes id)\Delta = (id \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta = id \tag{2.1h}$$

$$\varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(b), \tag{2.1i}$$

for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, and P is the permutation operator $P(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$. Eq. (2.1a) is the definition of the unit element, (2.1b, c) are the associativity and coassociativity of $\mathcal{A}$, (2.1d) defines Δ to be a homomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, and (2.1e – i) are the defining properties of the counit and antipode.

Let $\{e_a\}$ denote a linear basis for $\mathcal{A}$. The above operations can be formulated by means of structure constants:

$$e_a e_b = m_{ab}^c e_c \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\Delta(e_a) = \mu_a^{bc} e_b \otimes e_c \quad (2.2b)$$

$$s(e_a) = s_a^b e_b \quad (2.2c)$$

$$\varepsilon(e_a) = \varepsilon_a, \quad (2.2d)$$

where $m_{ab}^c, \mu_a^{bc}, s_a^b$, and ε_a are constants in $\mathcal{C}$. We also define constants ε^a such that

$$1 = \varepsilon^a e_a. \quad (2.3)$$

The properties (2.1) are easily expressed as consistency conditions on the structure constants:

$$m_{ab}^c \varepsilon^a = m_{ba}^c \varepsilon^a = \delta_b^c \quad (2.4a)$$

$$m_{ab}^c m_{de}^b = m_{ad}^b m_{be}^c \quad (2.4b)$$

$$\mu_a^{bc} \mu_b^{de} = \mu_a^{db} \mu_b^{ec} \quad (2.4c)$$

$$\mu_a^{ij} \mu_b^{kl} m_{ik}^c m_{jl}^d = m_{ab}^i \mu_i^{cd} \quad (2.4d)$$

$$m_{ij}^b s_k^j \mu_a^{ik} = m_{ji}^b s_k^j \mu_a^{ki} = \varepsilon_a \varepsilon^b \quad (2.4e)$$

$$m_{ab}^i s_i^c = s_b^j s_a^k m_{jk}^c \quad (2.4f)$$

$$\mu_i^{ab} s_c^i = s_j^a s_k^b \mu_c^{kj} \quad (2.4g)$$

$$\mu_a^{bc} \varepsilon_c = \mu_a^{cb} \varepsilon_c = \delta_a^b \quad (2.4h)$$

$$m_{ab}^c \varepsilon_c = \varepsilon_a \varepsilon_b \quad (2.4i)$$

For the applications we are interested in, we need to introduce the concept of the quantum double. Let $\mathcal{A}^*$ denote an algebra dual to $\mathcal{A}$ in the quantum double sense, with

basis $\{e^a\}$. The elements of the dual basis are defined to satisfy the following relations:

$$e^a e^b = \mu_c^{ab} e^c \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\Delta(e^a) = m_{bc}^a e^c \otimes e^b \quad (2.5b)$$

$$s(e^a) = (s^{-1})_b^a e^b \quad (2.5c)$$

$$\varepsilon(e^a) = \varepsilon^a \quad (2.5d)$$

$$\varepsilon_a e^a = 1. \quad (2.5e)$$

Due to the properties of the structure constants (2.4), one has a Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}^*$.

The quantum double is a Hopf algebra structure on the space $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^*$. The relations between the elements e_a and the dual elements e^a are defined as follows. Define the permuted comultiplication Δ' :

$$\Delta'(e_a) = \mu_a^{bc} e_c \otimes e_b, \quad (2.6)$$

and skew antipode s' :

$$m(s' \otimes 1)\Delta'(a) = \varepsilon_a \cdot 1. \quad (2.7)$$

The universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix is an element of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}^*$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_a e_a \otimes e^a, \quad (2.8)$$

satisfying

$$\mathcal{R} \Delta(e_a) = \Delta'(e_a) \mathcal{R}, \quad (2.9)$$

for all e_a . The equation (2.9) implies the following relation between elements of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^*$:

$$\mu_a^{bc} m_{ib}^d e^i e_c = \mu_a^{cb} m_{bi}^d e_c e^i. \quad (2.10)$$

Using the property (2.7) of s' , and (2.4b, c), the above equation can be written as

$$e_a e^b = \mu_a^{kcl} m_{idk}^b s_l'^i e^d e_c \quad (2.11a)$$

$$e^b e_a = \mu_a^{lck} m_{kdi}^b s_l'^i e_c e^d \quad (2.11b)$$

where

$$\mu_a^{lck} = \mu_a^{li} \mu_i^{ck}; \quad m_{kdm}^b = m_{kd}^i m_{im}^b. \quad (2.12)$$

The universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix constructed in this fashion satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{12}. \quad (2.13)$$

One also has

$$\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \sum_a s(e_a) \otimes e^a. \quad (2.14)$$

When $\mathcal{A}$ has an invariant bilinear form, $\mathcal{A}^*$ can often be identified with $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{R}$ becomes an element of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. However in some important examples, such as the Yangian, this is not the case.

3. General Theory

Let us suppose we are given the exact factorizable S-matrix of an integrable quantum field theory. As usual, the energy-momentum of an on-shell particle is parameterized by the rapidity β

$$p_0(\beta) = m \cosh(\beta), \quad p_1(\beta) = m \sinh(\beta). \quad (3.1)$$

In general, the one-particle states have isotopic degrees of freedom taking values in some vector space V . A complete infinite dimensional set of states is provided by the multiparticle basis

$$|B\rangle = |\beta_n, \beta_{n-1}, \dots, \beta_1\rangle. \quad (3.2)$$

The above state is a vector in $V^{\otimes n}$; the isotopic indices are not explicitly displayed. In-states have $\beta_n > \beta_{n-1} > \dots > \beta_1$, whereas out-states have $\beta_1 > \beta_2 > \dots > \beta_n$.

The two-particle to two-particle S-matrix is an operator

$$S_{12}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) : V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V. \quad (3.3)$$

The S-matrix $S_{12}(\beta_1 - \beta_2)$ satisfies the usual constraints of the Yang-Baxter equations, unitarity and crossing symmetry [1]:

$$S_{12}(\beta_1 - \beta_2)S_{13}(\beta_1 - \beta_3)S_{23}(\beta_2 - \beta_3) = S_{23}(\beta_2 - \beta_3)S_{13}(\beta_1 - \beta_3)S_{12}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \quad (3.4a)$$

$$S_{12}(\beta)S_{21}(-\beta) = 1 \quad (3.4b)$$

$$S_{12}(i\pi - \beta) = c_2 S_{21}(\beta) c_2, \quad (3.4c)$$

where c is the matrix of charge conjugation, and the subscripts refer to the space where the operator acts. The multiparticle S-matrix is factorized into 2-particle S-matrices:

$$S_{i,i+1}(\beta_i - \beta_{i+1}) |\beta_n, \beta_{n-1}, \dots, \beta_{i+1}, \beta_i, \dots, \beta_1\rangle = |\beta_n, \beta_{n-1}, \dots, \beta_i, \beta_{i+1}, \dots, \beta_1\rangle. \quad (3.5)$$

We further suppose that the S-matrix is invariant under some symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is assumed to be a quantum group with the structures outlined in section 2. The space of multiparticle states $\mathcal{H}$ forms an infinite dimensional representation $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. It is assumed that the generators of $\mathcal{A}$ commute with the particle number operator. This implies that the representation $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ is necessarily reducible into finite dimensional representations of fixed particle number. (More specifically we have finite dimensional representations at fixed rapidities, i.e. we do not count the additional multiplicity involved in varying the rapidity.) Given the representation $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on one-particle states, which is in general rapidity dependent, the representation on multiparticle states is provided by the comultiplication Δ . The on-shell symmetry of the S-matrix is the statement

$$S_{12}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \rho_V \otimes \rho_V (\Delta(e_a)) = \rho_V \otimes \rho_V (\Delta'(e_a)) S_{12}(\beta_1 - \beta_2). \quad (3.6)$$

For the models of interest, (3.6) completely characterizes the S-matrix. Comparing eq. (3.6) with the defining equation (2.9) for the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix it is evident that the S-matrix is a specialization of the $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix to the finite dimensional rapidity dependent representation ρ_V of $\mathcal{A}$ (up to overall scalar factors $s_0(\beta)$ required for unitarity and crossing symmetry):

$$S_{12}(\beta) = s_0(\beta) \rho_V \otimes \rho_V (\mathcal{R}). \quad (3.7)$$

It is of primary interest to extend the above on-shell symmetries to the space of fields. This problem is more complex than for the conformal field theories since there is no one-to-one correspondence between fields and states here. The fields in the theory are completely defined by specifying their matrix elements in the space of states $\mathcal{H}$, i.e. their form factors. Let us begin by reviewing some basic properties of form factors in integrable quantum field theory [2]. The form factors $f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ of a field $\phi(x)$ are defined as the particular matrix elements

$$f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \langle 0 | \phi(0) | \beta_n, \beta_{n-1}, \dots, \beta_1 \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

The form factor in (3.8) is a map from $V^{\otimes n}$ to a function of n rapidity variables; it is thus a vector in the dual space $(V^{\otimes n})^*$.

The form factors satisfy the following axiomatic properties:

$$S_{i,i+1}(\beta_i - \beta_{i+1})f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_i, \beta_{i+1}, \dots, \beta_n) = f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{i+1}, \beta_i, \dots, \beta_n), \quad (3.9)$$

and for local fields

$$f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_n + 2\pi i) = f(\beta_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{n-1}). \quad (3.10)$$

The form factors also satisfy a third axiom that relates residues of n -particle form factors to $n - 1$ -particle form factors, but we will not need it here. The general matrix elements follow from the functions (3.8) by crossing symmetry

$$\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m | \phi(0) | \beta_n, \dots, \beta_1 \rangle = c_1 \cdots c_m f(\alpha_m - i\pi, \dots, \alpha_1 - i\pi, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n). \quad (3.11)$$

(The equation (3.11) is correct as it stands for the set of rapidities $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ disconnected from the set $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$. When some of the rapidities α coincide with β , there are some additional δ -function terms in (3.11).) Finally the x dependence of the matrix elements can be trivially restored due to translational invariance

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m | \phi(x) | \beta_n, \dots, \beta_1 \rangle &= \exp \left(ix_\mu \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_\mu(\alpha_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_\mu(\beta_i) \right) \right) \\ &\cdot \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m | \phi(0) | \beta_n, \dots, \beta_1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

For the more familiar symmetries of quantum field theory, such as global Lie-algebra invariance, the symmetry is realized on the space of fields through the commutator with the global conserved charges. The Jacobi identity ensures that fields related by this adjoint action fall into finite dimensional representations of the symmetry algebra. It is this feature that we now generalize to an arbitrary quantum group $\mathcal{A}$. We define two adjoint actions on the space of fields:¹

$$\text{ad}_{e_a}(\phi(x)) \equiv \mu_a^{ij} s(e_i) \phi(x) e_j \quad (3.13a)$$

$$\text{ad}'_{e_a}(\phi(x)) \equiv \mu_a^{ij} s'(e_j) \phi(x) e_i. \quad (3.13b)$$

¹ For arbitrary Hopf algebras there exists a standard definition of the adjoint action of the algebra on itself which is analogous to the adjoint action on fields defined below [16].

The significance of the two different adjoint actions will be explained shortly. The adjoint actions as we have defined them are primarily characterized as being homomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{homo.} \\ \text{ad, ad}' : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \phi(x) \mathcal{A}. \end{array} \quad (3.14)$$

More precisely,

$$\text{ad}_{e_a} (\text{ad}_{e_b} (\phi(x))) = m_{ab}^c \text{ad}_{e_c} (\phi(x)). \quad (3.15)$$

This follows from the fact that the comultiplication Δ is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ (2.1d), and from (2.1f). For elements $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with the trivial comultiplication $\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a$, and counit $\varepsilon(a) = 0$, ad_a is just the usual commutator.

Repeated adjoint action on a given field $\phi(x)$ generates a tower of its ‘descendents’:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ad} \\ \phi(x) \longrightarrow \{\phi_{a_1, a_2, \dots}(x)\} \equiv \Phi(x) \end{array} \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{ad}' \\ \phi(x) \longrightarrow \{\phi'_{a_1, a_2, \dots}(x)\} \equiv \Phi'(x). \end{array} \quad (3.16b)$$

The form factors of any descendent of a field $\phi(x)$ are explicitly computable from knowledge of the form factors for $\phi(x)$ and the given action $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ on the space of multiparticle states. This is evident from the definition (3.13), where when computing the matrix elements of $\text{ad}_{e_a} (\phi(x))$ the elements of $\mathcal{A}$ to the right or left of the field give a known transformation on states.

By virtue of the symmetry of the S-matrix (3.6), the descendent form factors satisfy the axiom (3.9). However they do not generally satisfy (3.10), hence descendents are generally not local fields. This is due to the non-locality of the conserved currents that generate $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, as explained in [4][5], and similarly for conformal field theories in [10], the non-trivial comultiplication of $\mathcal{A}$ is due to the non-locality of these currents.

A highest weight field is defined as a field which cannot be reached by adjoint action on another field. A precise definition of highest weight field requires a definition of raising and lowering operators for the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, or at least for its quantum double. The towers of descendents (3.16) of a highest weight field by construction fill out a representation ρ_{Λ} of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted $\Phi_{\Lambda}(x)$. This is due to the property (3.15) of the adjoint action. More specifically,

$$\text{ad}_{e_a} (\Phi_{\Lambda}(x)) = \rho_{\Lambda}(e_a) \Phi_{\Lambda}(x). \quad (3.17)$$

The fields are thus intertwiners for $\mathcal{A}$.

Specific models are characterized by which representations ρ_Λ span its field content. For algebras $\mathcal{A}$ generated by a finite number of elements these representations are expected to be finite dimensional. For the case where $\mathcal{A}$ is simply a finite dimensional Lie algebra, this corresponds to the fact that fields are irreducible tensors. For infinite dimensional algebras $\mathcal{A}$ the possibility arises that the representations ρ_Λ are infinite dimensional, Verma-module representations. For the example considered in the next section, this is the case.

The fields $\Phi_\Lambda(x)$ are in part characterized by their braiding relations. We will prove the fundamental braiding relation

$$\Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y, t) \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x, t) = \mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\Lambda_1}, \rho_{\Lambda_2}} \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x) \Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y) \quad x < y, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\Lambda_1}, \rho_{\Lambda_2}}$ is the universal $\mathcal{R}$ matrix specialized to the representations $\rho_{\Lambda_{1,2}}$ of the fields $\Phi_{\Lambda_{1,2}}$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\Lambda_1}, \rho_{\Lambda_2}} = \rho_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda_2} (\mathcal{R}). \quad (3.19)$$

One way to prove (3.18) is to use a generalization of the locality theorem used in [3]. One first uses this locality theorem to show that

$$\Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x, t) \Phi'_{\Lambda_2}(y, t) = \Phi'_{\Lambda_2}(y, t) \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x, t) \quad x < y. \quad (3.20)$$

The proof of (3.20) is model dependent; however it is ultimately due to the crossing symmetry of the S-matrix. The relation (3.20) will be established for the example of the next section.

The fields $\Phi_\Lambda(x)$ and $\Phi'_\Lambda(x)$ are related by the following expression:

$$\Phi'_\Lambda(x) = \mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_\Lambda} \Phi_\Lambda(x), \quad (3.21)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_\Lambda}$ is again the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix evaluated in the representations indicated. The formula (3.21) is established by showing that $\mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_\Lambda}$ must satisfy its defining relation (2.9). To prove the last statement, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \rho_\Lambda (\Delta(e_a)) \Phi_\Lambda(x) &= \mu_a^{ij} e_i \text{ad}_{e_j} (\Phi_\Lambda(x)) \\ &= \mu_a^{ij} \mu_j^{kl} e_i s(e_k) \Phi_\Lambda(x) e_l \\ &= \Phi_\Lambda(x) e_a, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where in the last step we used the coassociativity (2.4c) , the defining properties of the antipode (2.4e) , and (2.4h) . Similarly,

$$\rho_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} (\Delta'(e_a)) \Phi'_{\Lambda}(x) = \Phi'_{\Lambda}(x) e_a. \quad (3.23)$$

Therefore $\Phi'_{\Lambda}(x)e_a = \mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_{\Lambda}} \Phi_{\Lambda}(x)e_a$ implies

$$\rho_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda} (\Delta'(e_a)) \mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_{\Lambda}} \Phi_{\Lambda}(x) = \mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_{\Lambda}} (\rho_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda}) (\Delta(e_a)) \Phi_{\Lambda}(x), \quad (3.24)$$

which establishes the relation (2.9) for $\mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_{\Lambda}}$.

The relation (3.21) can be inserted into (3.20) to derive the braiding relation (3.18) for the fields. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y) \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x) &= \mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_{\Lambda_2}}^{-1} \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x) \mathcal{R}_{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}, \rho_{\Lambda_2}} \Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y) \\ &= s(e_i) \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x) e_j \rho_{\Lambda_2}(e^i) \rho_{\Lambda_2}(e^j) \Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y) \\ &= (\rho_{\Lambda_1}(e_a) \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x)) (\rho_{\Lambda_2}(e^a) \Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where we have used (2.8), and the homomorphism property (3.15) for the relation (2.5a) in the dual space. From the expression (2.8) for $\mathcal{R}$, one has thus established (3.18).

The above proof of the braiding relation (3.18) relied on (3.20), which is established in a specific model using form factors. Eq. (3.18) can be understood in an alternative model independent way. We first show that the adjoint action on a product of fields is given by the comultiplication, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{e_a} (\Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y) \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x)) &= \mu_a^{bc} s(e_b) \Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y) \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x) e_c \\ &= \mu_a^{bc} \text{ad}_{e_b} (\Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y)) \text{ad}_{e_c} (\Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x)) \\ &= \rho_{\Lambda_2} \otimes \rho_{\Lambda_1} (\Delta(e_a)) \Phi_{\Lambda_2}(y) \Phi_{\Lambda_1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Above we have used the coassociativity (2.4c) and (2.4h) . Applying ad_{e_a} to both sides of (3.18), and using (3.26), one finds that $\mathcal{R}$ must satisfy the defining property of the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix (2.9).

Let us suppose that we can associate a conserved current $J_a^{\mu}(x)$, satisfying $\partial_{\mu} J_a^{\mu}(x) = 0$, to an element e_a of $\mathcal{A}$, such that $e_a = \int dx J_a^t(x)$. The braiding of these currents with other fields can be expressed in a simple way. Note first that due to the (co)associativity

(2.4b, c) of the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, there exists an adjoint representation ρ_{adj} of $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^*$ whose matrix elements are given in terms of the structure constants:

$$\langle b | \rho_{\text{adj}}(e_a) | c \rangle = m_{ac}^b \quad (3.27a)$$

$$\langle c | \rho_{\text{adj}}(e^a) | b \rangle = \mu_c^{ab} \quad (3.27b)$$

This fact is analogous to the fact that the structure constants of a Lie algebra form the adjoint representation of the algebra due to the Jacobi identity. The currents $J_a^\mu(x)$ are associated to the fields in $\Phi_{\text{adj}}(x)$. The braiding relation (3.18) for $\rho_{\Lambda_2} = \rho_{\text{adj}}$ reads:

$$J_a^\mu(y) \Phi_\Lambda(x) = \rho_\Lambda(R_a^b) \Phi_\Lambda(x) J_b^\mu(y) \quad x < y, \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$R_a^b \equiv \mu_a^{cb} e_c. \quad (3.29)$$

The above equations imply that the braiding with the currents can be simply determined from the comultiplication Δ .

4. The example of Yangian Symmetry

In this section we apply the general theory of the last section to models with Yangian symmetry. As explained in the introduction these models can be described by the action (1.1). For simplicity we consider only the case of $sl(2)$.

4.1 Symmetries of the S-matrix

The spectrum of particles in this model is known to consist only of kinks $|\beta; \pm\rangle$ transforming under the 2-dimensional spin-1/2 representation $|\pm\rangle$ of $sl(2)$. The S-matrix has the following simple form

$$S_{12}(\beta) = s_0(\beta) (\beta - i\pi P_{12}), \quad (4.1)$$

where P_{12} is the permutation operator:

$$P_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{a=1}^3 \sigma^a \otimes \sigma^a + 1 \right), \quad (4.2)$$

(σ^a are the Pauli spin matrices), and

$$s_0(\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(1/2 + \beta/2\pi i) \Gamma(-\beta/2\pi i)}{\Gamma(1/2 - \beta/2\pi i) \Gamma(\beta/2\pi i)}. \quad (4.3)$$

We now describe the symmetries of the S-matrix (4.1). Let $Q_0^a, a = 1, 2, 3$ denote the global $sl(2)$ charges satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_0^a, Q_0^b] &= f^{abc} Q_0^c \\ f^{abc} &= i\epsilon^{abc}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Define an additional charge Q_1^a , satisfying

$$[Q_0^a, Q_1^b] = f^{abc} Q_1^c. \quad (4.5)$$

These charges have the following action on 1-particle states:

$$Q_0^a |\beta\rangle = t^a |\beta\rangle, \quad Q_1^a |\beta\rangle = t^a \beta |\beta\rangle, \quad (4.6)$$

where $t^a = \sigma^a/2$, and $|\pm\rangle$ are eigenstates of t^3 , $t^3|\pm\rangle = \pm|\pm\rangle/2$. The action on multiparticle states is given by the comultiplication

$$\Delta(Q_0^a) = Q_0^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_0^a \quad (4.7a)$$

$$\Delta(Q_1^a) = Q_1^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_1^a + \alpha f^{abc} Q_0^b \otimes Q_0^c, \quad (4.7b)$$

where

$$\alpha = -\frac{2\pi i}{c_A}, \quad (4.8)$$

and $c_A = 2$ is the quadratic casimir in the adjoint representation

$$f^{abc} f^{bcd} = -c_A \delta^{ad}. \quad (4.9)$$

The comultiplication (4.7) implies

$$Q_0^a |\beta_n, \beta_{n-1}, \dots, \beta_1\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^a |\beta_n, \beta_{n-1}, \dots, \beta_1\rangle \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1^a |\beta_n, \dots, \beta_1\rangle &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i^a \beta_i + \alpha f^{abc} \sum_{i>j} t_i^b t_j^c \right) |\beta_n, \dots, \beta_1\rangle \\ &\equiv T^a(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) |\beta_n, \dots, \beta_1\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

That these are symmetries of the S-matrix, i.e. that (3.6) is satisfied, is easily checked by explicit computation. The value (4.8) for α is a consequence of the crossing symmetry of S_{12} .

The equations (4.4), (4.5), and (4.7), are part of the defining relations of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}$, as formulated by Drinfel'd [14]. The complete set of relations for the Yangian include the additional 'terrific'² relations

$$[Q_1^a, [Q_1^b, Q_0^c]] - [Q_0^a, [Q_1^b, Q_1^c]] = \alpha^2 A^{abcdef} \{Q_0^d, Q_0^e, Q_0^f\} \quad (4.12a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [[Q_1^a, Q_1^b], [Q_0^c, Q_1^d]] + [[Q_1^c, Q_1^d], [Q_0^a, Q_1^b]] \\ &= \alpha^2 (A^{abklmn} f^{cdk} + A^{cdklmn} f^{abk}) \{Q_0^l, Q_0^m, Q_1^n\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12b)$$

where $A^{abcdef} = f^{adk} f^{bel} f^{cfm} f^{klm}$, and $\{, \}$ denotes symmetrization

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{i_1 \neq i_2 \dots \neq i_n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}. \quad (4.13)$$

These last relations (4.12) were constructed to be consistent with the comultiplication. For $sl(2)$, eq. (4.12a) is superfluous, i.e. it follows from (4.5) with the right hand side of (4.12a) equal to zero. For other groups, (4.12b) follows from (4.5) and (4.12a).

The remaining Hopf algebra properties of the Yangian are

$$\varepsilon(Q_0^a) = \varepsilon(Q_1^a) = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

$$s(Q_0^a) = -Q_0^a; \quad s(Q_1^a) = -Q_1^a + \alpha f^{abc} Q_0^b Q_0^c. \quad (4.15)$$

The antipodes (4.15) are derived from the definition (2.1e) .

The Yangian is a Hopf algebra for any constant value of the parameter α . Setting $\alpha = 0$ one recovers half of the Kac-Moody algebra. By 'half' we mean the generators with either positive or negative eigenvalue of the derivation element, or positive or negative frequency modes in the sense of current algebra. The Yangian is the unique deformation of the Kac-Moody algebra that preserves the finite dimensional Lie subalgebra (4.4). It has a natural grading where Q_0^a has degree zero, and Q_1^a and α have degree +1. Elements of the Yangian corresponding to the other half of the Kac-Moody algebra are missing in Drinfeld's work. For our purposes, more specifically for constructing the universal $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix that characterizes the braiding of fields, it is necessary to introduce the quantum double of the Yangian $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$. The deformation of the other half of the Kac-Moody algebra is located in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ as we will show in the next section.

² We are here quoting Drinfel'd.

3.2 The Yangian Double and Deformations of the Full Kac-Moody Algebra

As explained in the last section, for our goals an extension of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}$ is needed which we are now going to describe. For the sake of simplicity we consider only the $sl(2)$ case³. For this case, the second relation in (4.12) can be rewritten as follows

$$f^{acd}[[Q_1^c, Q_1^d], Q_1^b] + f^{bcd}[[Q_1^c, Q_1^d], Q_1^a] = -2\alpha^2 (f^{acd}\{Q_0^b, Q_0^c, Q_1^d\} + f^{bcd}\{Q_0^a, Q_0^c, Q_1^d\}) \quad (4.16)$$

(by multiplying (4.12b) by $f^{abi}f^{cdj}$), while the first relation is satisfied trivially. As mentioned above, the Yangian is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of the subalgebra $\widehat{sl}(2)_+$ of the Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{sl}(2)$. In this work, for reasons that will soon be apparent, we need only consider the $\widehat{sl}(2)$ loop algebra, which is obtained from the Kac-Moody algebra by setting the level to zero. We begin by presenting several simple facts concerning the loop algebra itself.

The loop algebra $\widehat{sl}(2)$ has the set of generators J_n^a , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the relations

$$[J_m^a, J_n^b] = f^{abc}J_{m+n}^c \quad (4.17)$$

It contains two subalgebras $\widehat{sl}(2)_+$ and $\widehat{sl}(2)_-$ generated by $\{J_n^a, n \geq 0\}$ and $\{J_n^a, n < 0\}$ respectively. One can define the set of generators in a more economical way; for example, J_1^a, J_0^a, J_{-1}^a are sufficient to generate $\widehat{sl}(2)$, because the relations (4.17) allow one to construct the remaining generators. However, one must impose additional relations due to the fact that the free Lie algebra generated by J_1^a, J_0^a, J_{-1}^a is larger than the loop algebra. Using the relations (4.17) one has many ways of defining J_m^a , $|m| \geq 3$ in terms of $J_0^a, J_{\pm 1}^a$. ($J_{\pm 2}^a$ are defined uniquely for $\widehat{sl}(2)$.) Thus, it is necessary to require that these different definitions define the same algebra. It can be shown that for the $\widehat{sl}(2)$ case it is sufficient to require that two different definitions of J_3^a, J_{-3}^a coincide:

$$f^{acd}[[J_m^c, J_m^d], J_m^b] + f^{bcd}[[J_m^c, J_m^d], J_m^a] = 0 \quad m = \pm 1. \quad (4.18)$$

Provided (4.18) is satisfied, all possible definitions of J_m^a , $|m| \geq 3$ coincide automatically. The relations (4.18) play the same role as the Serre relations [17]. Thus the loop algebra $\widehat{sl}(2)$ is generated by $J_{\pm 1}^a, J_0^a$ satisfying (4.17), (4.18), with the trivial comultiplication

$$\Delta(J_m^a) = J_m^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J_m^a \quad m = 0, \pm 1. \quad (4.19)$$

³ Below we will use the special properties of the $sl(2)$ structure constants: $f^{abe}f^{cde} = \delta^{ad}\delta^{bc} - \delta^{ac}\delta^{bd}$.

The loop algebra can be equipped with the inner product

$$\langle J_m^a, J_n^b \rangle = \delta^{ab} \delta_{m+n+1,0}. \quad (4.20)$$

The subalgebras $\widehat{sl}(2)_+, \widehat{sl}(2)_-$ are dual with respect to this inner product. So, the structure of the classical double can be introduced [18] and the classical r -matrix can be defined:

$$r = \sum_{n \geq 0} J_n^a \otimes (J_n^a)^* = \sum_{n \geq 0} J_n^a \otimes J_{-n-1}^a. \quad (4.21)$$

For the tensor product of finite dimensional representations depending on spectral parameters λ, μ , where $J_m^a \rightarrow \lambda^m t^a, \mu^m t^a$, the r -matrix is equal to

$$\frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} t^a \otimes t^a \quad (4.22)$$

and coincides with the celebrated classical r -matrix found by Sklyanin [19].

Let us now return to the Yangian. We want to demonstrate first that $\mathcal{Y}$ is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\widehat{sl}(2)_+)$ of $\widehat{sl}(2)_+$. The basis of $U(\widehat{sl}(2)_+)$ consists of the following elements

$$\{J_{k_1}^{a_1} \cdots J_{k_n}^{a_n}\} \equiv J_K^A \quad (4.23)$$

where $k_i \geq 0$, $\{ \}$ means symmetrization, and A, K are multi-indices. We define

$$\deg(J_K^A) = \sum_{k \in K} k. \quad (4.24)$$

Define the following elements of $\mathcal{Y}$:

$$Q_k^a = \frac{1}{(-2)^{k-1}} f^{aa_1 a_2} f^{a_2 a_3 a_4} \cdots f^{a_{2k-4} a_{2k-3} a_{2k-2}} \times [Q_1^{a_1} [Q_1^{a_3} \cdots [Q_1^{a_{2k-3}}, Q_1^{a_{2k-2}}] \cdots] \quad (4.25)$$

and consider the vector space whose basis consists of the elements

$$\{Q_{k_1}^{a_1} \cdots Q_{k_n}^{a_n}\} \equiv Q_K^A. \quad (4.26)$$

Using induction in the degree of the elements one can show that the vector space defined in this way coincides with $\mathcal{Y}$, just as the vector space generated by (4.23) coincides with $U(\widehat{sl}(2)_+)$. An important point is that the relations (4.16) allow one to express the difference between two possible definitions of Q_3^a in terms of the elements of lower degree.

Thus $\mathcal{Y}$ is indeed a deformation of $U(\widehat{sl}(2)_+)$ where Q_k^a corresponds to J_k^a . We present the following examples of the multiplication map in $\mathcal{Y}$:

$$Q_0^a Q_0^b = Q_{0,0}^{a,b} + \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} Q_0^c \quad (4.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{0,0}^{a,b} Q_0^c &= Q_{0,0,0}^{a,b,c} + \frac{1}{2} f^{acd} Q_{0,0}^{b,d} + \frac{1}{2} f^{bcd} Q_{0,0}^{a,d} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} (\delta^{ac} \delta^{bd} + \delta^{bc} \delta^{ad} - 2\delta^{ab} \delta^{cd}) Q_0^d \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow m_{Q_0^b Q_0^c}^{Q_0^a} = \frac{1}{2} f^{abc}, \quad m_{Q_{00}^{de} Q_0^c}^{Q_0^a} = \frac{1}{12} (2\delta^{de} \delta^{ac} - \delta^{dc} \delta^{ae} - \delta^{ec} \delta^{ad}). \quad (4.28)$$

For what follows we need the comultiplication of Q_K^A , which can be shown to be of the form

$$\Delta(Q_K^A) = \sum_{\deg^* Q_K^A = \deg^* Q_L^B + \deg^* Q_M^C} \mu_{Q_K^A}^{Q_L^B, Q_M^C} Q_L^B \otimes Q_M^C \quad (4.29)$$

where

$$\deg^*(Q_K^A) = - \sum_{k \in K} (k+1). \quad (4.30)$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(Q_1^a) &= Q_1^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_1^a + \alpha f^{abc} Q_0^b \otimes Q_0^c \\ \Delta(Q_2^a) &= Q_2^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_2^a + \alpha f^{abc} (Q_0^b \otimes Q_1^c - Q_1^c \otimes Q_0^b) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2 (Q_0^b \otimes Q_{0,0}^{a,b} + Q_{0,0}^{a,b} \otimes Q_0^b). \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

We wish to extend $\mathcal{Y}$ in order to obtain a deformation of the complete loop algebra. This can be accomplished by following the general construction of the quantum double discussed in Section 2. Namely, let us construct the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{Y}^*$ dual to $\mathcal{Y}$. The element dual to Q_0^a is denoted by $2\alpha Q_{-1}^a$. It can be shown that:

1. The algebra $\mathcal{Y}^*$ is generated by Q_{-1}^a .
2. The generators Q_{-1}^a satisfy the relation:

$$f^{acd} [[Q_{-1}^c, Q_{-1}^d], Q_{-1}^b] + f^{bcd} [[Q_{-1}^c, Q_{-1}^d], Q_{-1}^a] = 0 \quad (4.32)$$

which is the undeformed form of (4.18) for $m = -1$. The relation (4.32) will be proven below.

The algebra $\mathcal{Y}^*$ is equivalent as an algebra (but not as coalgebra!) to $U(\widehat{sl}(2)_-)$. So, its basis consists of

$$Q_{-K}^A \equiv \{Q_{-k_1}^{a_1} \cdots Q_{-k_n}^{a_n}\}, \quad k_i > 0 \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$Q_{-k}^a = \frac{1}{(-2)^{k-1}} f^{aa_1 a_2} f^{a_2 a_3 a_4} \dots f^{a_{2k-1} a_{2k-3} a_{2k-2}} \times [Q_{-1}^{a_1} [Q_{-1}^{a_3} \dots [Q_{-1}^{a_{2k-3}}, Q_{-1}^{a_{2k-2}}] \dots]]. \quad (4.34)$$

The degree of $Q_{\pm K}^A$ is defined as

$$\deg(Q_{\pm K}^A) = \sum_{k \in K} \pm k. \quad (4.35)$$

The multiplication map m^* in $\mathcal{Y}^*$ is described by the equation:

$$Q_{-K}^A Q_{-L}^B = \sum_{\deg Q_{-K}^A + \deg Q_{-L}^B = \deg Q_{-M}^C} m_{Q_{-K}^A, Q_{-L}^B}^{*Q_{-M}^C} Q_{-M}^C. \quad (4.36)$$

From the general theory of section 2, namely (2.5a), the constants m^* are computable from the comultiplication map in $\mathcal{Y}$.

To exhibit the properties of the double $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$, knowledge of the basis elements is needed. The dual elements to $Q_K^A \in \mathcal{Y}$ should be expressed in terms of the basis elements of $\mathcal{Y}^*$. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{Y}^*$. The comultiplication coefficients μ of $\mathcal{Y}$ and the multiplication map m^* in $\mathcal{Y}^*$ have the usual definitions: $\Delta(A) = \mu_A^{BC} B \otimes C$ and $X \cdot Y = m_{XY}^* Z$. Let us define a matrix of coefficients $\mathcal{T}$, where

$$A^* = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{Y}^*} \mathcal{T}^{AX} X \quad A \in \mathcal{Y}. \quad (4.37)$$

Then by (2.5a), $\mathcal{T}$ must satisfy

$$\mu_C^{AB} \mathcal{T}^{CZ} = \mathcal{T}^{AX} \mathcal{T}^{BY} m_{XY}^* Z. \quad (4.38)$$

We were unable to deduce an general formula for $\mathcal{T}$. However a study of particular examples (up to degree-4 in $\mathcal{Y}$) convinced us that the defining relations (4.38) allow a solution. Furthermore the matrix $\mathcal{T}$ is triangular:

$$\mathcal{T}^{AX} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \deg^*(A) \neq \deg(X) \quad \text{or} \quad \deg(A) > \deg^*(X), \quad (4.39)$$

where

$$\deg^*(Q_{-K}^A) = \sum_{k \in K} (k-1). \quad (4.40)$$

Let us present some examples of dual elements. Consider $(Q_1^a)^*$. From (2.5a) one has

$$(Q_0^a)^* (Q_0^b)^* = (2\alpha)^2 \left(Q_{-1,-1}^{a,b} + \frac{1}{2} [Q_{-1}^a, Q_{-1}^b] \right) = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{Y}} \mu_D^{Q_0^a, Q_0^b} D^*. \quad (4.41)$$

The only non-zero contributions to the above sum over D comes from $\mu_{Q_1^c}^{Q_0^a, Q_0^b} = \alpha f^{abc}$ and $\mu_{Q_{00}^{ab}}^{Q_0^a, Q_0^b} = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha f^{abc} (Q_1^c)^* &= 2\alpha^2 [Q_{-1}^a, Q_{-1}^b] \\ (Q_{00}^{ab})^* &= 4\alpha^2 Q_{-1,-1}^{a,b}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

The above equation implies $(Q_1^a)^* = 2\alpha Q_{-2}^a$ and $[Q_{-1}^a, Q_{-1}^b] = f^{abc} Q_{-2}^c$.

One can deduce $(Q_k^a)^*$ inductively as follows. Let us suppose $(Q_{k-1}^a)^* = 2\alpha Q_{-k}^a$, and

$$\Delta(Q_{k-1}^a) = Q_{k-1}^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_{k-1}^a + \alpha f^{abc} (Q_0^b \otimes Q_{k-2}^c - Q_{k-2}^c \otimes Q_0^b) + \dots \quad (4.43)$$

Note that $\Delta(Q_2^a)$ is of this form. Representing Q_k^a as $-f^{abc} Q_1^b Q_{k-1}^c$, from (4.43) one shows that $\Delta(Q_k^a)$ is of the same form as (4.43) with $k-1 \rightarrow k$. We have

$$(Q_0^a)^* (Q_{k-1}^b)^* = (2\alpha)^2 Q_{-1}^a Q_{-k}^b = \sum_{D \in \mathcal{Y}} \mu_D^{Q_0^a, Q_{k-1}^b} D^*. \quad (4.44)$$

Now it is not hard to realize that the only contributions in the above sum over D come from $D = Q_k^a$ and $Q_{0,k-1}^{ab}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_k^a)^* &= 2\alpha Q_{-k-1}^a \\ (Q_{0k}^{ab})^* &= 4\alpha^2 Q_{-1,-k-1}^{a,b}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

which implies

$$[Q_{-1}^a, Q_{-k}^b] = f^{abc} Q_{-k-1}^c. \quad (4.46)$$

The ‘Serre’ relation (4.32) in $\mathcal{Y}^*$ is now seen to be a consequence of (4.46). The relation (4.46) also implies the following examples of the multiplication map in $\mathcal{Y}^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{-1}^a Q_{-1}^b &= Q_{-1,-1}^{a,b} + \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} Q_{-2}^c \\ Q_{-1,-1}^{a,b} Q_{-1}^c &= Q_{-1,-1,-1}^{a,b,c} + \frac{1}{2} f^{acd} Q_{-1,-2}^{b,d} + \frac{1}{2} f^{bcd} Q_{-1,-2}^{a,d} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{12} (\delta^{ac} \delta^{bd} + \delta^{bc} \delta^{ad} - 2\delta^{ab} \delta^{cd}) Q_{-3}^d. \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

Dual elements generally have corrections to the classical formulas, unlike (4.45). Consider for example $(Q_{000}^{abc})^*$. By examining (4.38) with $A = Q_{00}^{ab}$ and $B = Q_0^c$, one finds

$$(Q_{000}^{abc})^* = 8\alpha^3 Q_{-1,-1,-1}^{a,b,c} + \frac{4}{3}\alpha^3 (\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} + \delta^{bc}\delta^{ad} + \delta^{ab}\delta^{cd}) Q_{-3}^d. \quad (4.48)$$

Now using the general formulas (2.11) one can deduce the commutation relations in the Yangian double. A straightforward computation gives

$$[Q_0^a, B^*] = m_{[D, Q_0^a]}^B D^* \quad (4.49)$$

$$[Q_1^a, B^*] = m_{[D, Q_1^a]}^B D^* + \alpha f^{acd} \left(m_{Q_0^c D}^B + m_{D Q_0^c}^B \right) D^* Q_0^d \\ + \alpha f^{acd} m_{Q_0^c, [D, Q_0^d]}^B D^*$$

where $B, D \in \mathcal{Y}$, $m_{[D, Q_0^a]}^B = m_{D Q_0^a}^B - m_{Q_0^a D}^B$, and similarly for $m_{Q_0^c, [D, Q_0^d]}^B$. From (4.49) with $B = Q_0^b$, and the above dual elements, one finds

$$[Q_0^a, Q_{-1}^b] = f^{abc} Q_{-1}^c. \quad (4.50)$$

One can also compute the first few terms in the commutator

$$[Q_1^a, Q_{-1}^b] = f^{abc} Q_0^c - \frac{\alpha}{2} f^{abc} Q_{-1}^c - 2\alpha^2 Q_{-1,-1}^{a,b} + \frac{2\alpha^2}{3} \delta^{ab} Q_{-1,-1}^{c,c} \\ + \frac{2\alpha^2}{3} f^{abd} Q_{-1,-1}^{c,c} Q_0^d - \frac{2\alpha^2}{3} f^{acd} Q_{-1,-1}^{c,b} Q_0^d + \dots \quad (4.51)$$

The comultiplication of Q_{-1}^a can be found as follows. Consider all possible products of elements of $\mathcal{Y}$ which contain Q_0^a . Evidently the only elements of this type are $Q_{0,\dots,0}^{a_1,\dots,a_n}$:

$$Q_{0,\dots,0}^{b_1,\dots,b_k} Q_{0,\dots,0}^{c_1,\dots,c_l} = m_{Q_{0,\dots,0}^{b_1,\dots,b_k}, Q_{0,\dots,0}^{c_1,\dots,c_l}}^{Q_0^a} \cdot Q_0^a + \dots \quad (4.52)$$

According to the general rules

$$\Delta(Q_{-1}^a) = \frac{1}{2\alpha} \sum_{C,D \in \mathcal{Y}} m_{DC}^{Q_0^a} C^* \otimes D^*. \quad (4.53)$$

The first several terms in (4.53) are

$$\Delta(Q_{-1}^a) = Q_{-1}^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes Q_{-1}^a - \alpha f^{abc} Q_{-1}^b \otimes Q_{-1}^c + \frac{2\alpha^2}{3} (\delta^{ac}\delta^{bd} + \delta^{ba}\delta^{dc} - 2\delta^{bc}\delta^{ad}) \\ \cdot (Q_{-1}^d \otimes Q_{-1,-1}^{b,c} + Q_{-1,-1}^{b,c} \otimes Q_{-1}^d) + \dots \quad (4.54)$$

Finally the $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} = I + 2\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k^a \otimes Q_{-k-1}^a &+ 4\alpha^2 Q_{0k}^{ab} \otimes Q_{-1,-k-1}^{ab} \\ &+ 8\alpha^3 Q_{000}^{abc} \otimes Q_{-1,-1,-1}^{a,b,c} + 4\alpha^3 Q_{000}^{aab} \otimes Q_{-3}^b + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

In the classical limit

$$\begin{aligned} R &= 1 + \alpha r + o(\alpha^2) \\ r &= Q_0^a \otimes Q_{-1}^a + Q_1^a \otimes Q_{-2}^a + Q_2^a \otimes Q_{-3}^a + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

which coincides with the classical r -matrix (4.21).

We remark that one does not have the freedom to introduce a level k into the Yangian double, as in (1.2). Indeed, upon setting α to zero in (4.51), one recovers the loop algebra. Though it remains an interesting mathematical problem to determine whether a central extension of the Yangian can be introduced by other means, this does not appear to be relevant for the physical applications we are considering.

3.3 Adjoint Action on Fields

From the definition (3.13) we have the following adjoint actions

$$\text{ad}_{Q_0^a}(\phi(x)) = \text{ad}'_{Q_0^a}(\phi(x)) = [\phi(x), Q_0^a] \quad (4.57a)$$

$$\text{ad}_{Q_1^a}(\phi(x)) = [\phi(x), Q_1^a] - \alpha f^{abc} Q_0^b [\phi(x), Q_0^c] \quad (4.57b)$$

$$\text{ad}'_{Q_1^a}(\phi(x)) = \text{ad}_{Q_1^a}(\phi(x)) + 2\alpha f^{abc} Q_0^b [\phi(x), Q_0^c]. \quad (4.57c)$$

Highest weight fields $\phi_\Lambda(x)$ can be defined to satisfy

$$\text{ad}_{Q_0^+}(\phi_\Lambda(x)) = \text{ad}_{Q_{-1}^a}(\phi_\Lambda(x)) = 0, \quad (4.58)$$

where $Q_0^\pm = (Q_0^1 \pm iQ_0^2)/\sqrt{2}$. The vacuum is invariant under all the charges

$$Q_0^a|0\rangle = Q_1^a|0\rangle = Q_{-1}^a|0\rangle = 0. \quad (4.59)$$

Descendents of $\phi_\Lambda(x)$, which comprise the multiplet $\Phi_\Lambda(x)$, are denoted as

$$\phi_\Lambda^{a_1, \dots, a_m; N}(x) = \text{ad}_{Q_1^{a_1}} \circ \text{ad}_{Q_1^{a_2}} \circ \dots \circ \text{ad}_{Q_1^{a_m}} \circ \text{ad}_{Q_0^-}^N(\phi_\Lambda(x)). \quad (4.60)$$

Let $f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ denote the form factor for a field $\phi(x)$, not necessarily highest weight, as in (3.8). We suppose that $\phi(x)$ transforms under some finite dimensional representation of $sl(2)$:

$$[Q_0^a, \phi(x)] = t_\phi^a \phi(x). \quad (4.61)$$

The $sl(2)$ invariance implies

$$\left(t_\phi^a + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^a \right) f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = 0. \quad (4.62)$$

Consider now the form factors of the descendents with respect to Q_1^a

$$f^{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \langle 0 | \phi^{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; 0}(0) | \beta_n, \dots, \beta_1 \rangle. \quad (4.63)$$

From (4.57), (4.59), and (4.11), one obtains these form factors in terms of the form factors of $\phi(x)$

$$f^{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = T^{a_1}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \cdots T^{a_m}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n). \quad (4.64)$$

A similar expression holds for descendents with respect to $\text{ad}'_{Q_1^a}$, where $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$ in the definition for T^a .

We now show that the two sets of ϕ 's descendent fields Φ and Φ' commute for $x < y$ (3.20). The proof of (3.20) relies on a generalization of the locality theorem, which can be stated as follows [2]. Let the form factors of two fields $\mathcal{O}_1(x)$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(x)$ be given. The matrix elements are defined as

$$f_{1,2}(\alpha_m, \dots, \alpha_1 | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m | \mathcal{O}_{1,2}(0) | \beta_n, \dots, \beta_1 \rangle. \quad (4.65)$$

These matrix elements can be defined in two different ways

$$f_{1,2}(\alpha_m, \dots, \alpha_1 | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = f_{1,2}(\alpha_m - i\pi, \dots, \alpha_1 - i\pi, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \quad (4.66a)$$

$$f'_{1,2}(\alpha_m, \dots, \alpha_1 | \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = f_{1,2}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \alpha_m + i\pi, \dots, \alpha_1 + i\pi) \quad (4.66b)$$

(For simplicity of notation we don't display the charge conjugation matrices.) For local operators, by (3.10), $f = f'$. The locality theorem states that all matrix elements satisfy

$$\mathcal{O}_1(x) \mathcal{O}_2(y) = \mathcal{O}_2(y) \mathcal{O}_1(x) \quad x < y. \quad (4.67)$$

The proof of (4.67) involves inserting a complete set of states between the operators, representing the matrix elements of $\mathcal{O}_1$ and $\mathcal{O}_2$ with f_1 and f'_2 respectively, and using analytic properties of form factors [2].

The details of the proof of the locality theorem admit the following generalization [3]. Let us be given any two operators $\mathcal{O}(x)$ and $\mathcal{O}'(y)$, whose matrix elements are of the form f and f' respectively, as in (4.66). Then

$$\mathcal{O}(x) \mathcal{O}'(y) = \mathcal{O}'(y) \mathcal{O}(x) \quad x < y. \quad (4.68)$$

Let us apply the above result to the comparison of descendent form factors in Φ and Φ' . For simplicity consider the case where the ancestor field ϕ is local. We adopt the notation that $\langle A|, |B\rangle$ represent the states $\langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m|$ and $|\beta_n, \dots, \beta_1\rangle$, respectively. The form factor $f^a(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ has the following analytic property

$$f^a(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n + 2\pi i) = T^a(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) f(\beta_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) + 2\pi i t_n^a f(\beta_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}). \quad (4.69)$$

The operator T^a has the following property

$$T^a(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = T^a(\beta_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}) - 2\pi i t_n^a - 2\alpha f^{abc} t_B^b t_n^c, \quad (4.70)$$

where t_B^b is the representation of $sl(2)$ on the state $|B\rangle$, $t_B^b = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^b$. Using (4.62), the equations (4.69) and (4.70) imply more generally that

$$f^a(B_1, B_2 + 2\pi i) = f^a(B_2, B_1) + 2\alpha f^{abc} t_\phi^b t_{B_2}^c f(B_2, B_1). \quad (4.71)$$

Consider now the two kinds of matrix elements

$$f^a(A|B) = f^a(A - i\pi, B) \quad (4.72a)$$

$$f'^a(A|B) = f^a(B, A + i\pi). \quad (4.72b)$$

From (4.71)

$$f'^a(A|B) = f^a(A - i\pi, B) + 2\alpha f^{abc} t_\phi^b t_A^c f(A - i\pi, B). \quad (4.73)$$

Comparing (4.73) with (4.57), one sees that $f^a(A|B)$ and $f'^a(A|B)$ represent the matrix elements of $\text{ad}_{Q_1^a}(\phi)$ and $\text{ad}'_{Q_1^a}(\phi)$ respectively. Therefore, from the generalized locality theorem, these two fields commute for $x < y$. Repeated adjoint action yields the result (3.20).

Let $J_\mu^a(x)$ and $\mathcal{J}_\mu^a(x)$ denote the currents generating the conserved charges Q_0^a and Q_1^a respectively. Form the general result (3.28) one has the following braiding relations

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mu^a(y) \Phi_\Lambda(x) &= \Phi_\Lambda(x) J_\mu^a(y) \quad x < y \\ \mathcal{J}_\mu^a(y) \Phi_\Lambda(x) &= \Phi_\Lambda(x) \mathcal{J}_\mu^a(y) - \alpha f^{abc} [Q_0^b, \Phi_\Lambda(x)] J_\mu^c(y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.74)$$

In applying (3.28) we have used the fact that the current generating the identity is zero.

Non-local currents $\mathcal{J}_\mu^a(x)$ generating the charges Q_1^a were constructed by Lüscher and Bernard [8][4]. As was shown in these works, the current $\mathcal{J}_\mu^a(x)$ can be constructed solely in terms of the current $J_\mu^a(x)$, through a non-local bilinear expression. Using this explicit construction of the current it was shown in [4] that it satisfies the braiding relation (4.74).

Let us say a few words about what one expects for the field content of the specific model we are considering. The conserved energy momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$, being an $sl(2)$ singlet, of course has no descendents with respect to Q_0^a . However repeated $\text{ad}_{Q_1^a}$ action generates an infinite multiplet of conserved currents, which we analyze in more detail in the next section. As we will show, the energy momentum tensor and the $sl(2)$ current J_μ^a are in the same multiplet. There are also fields $\psi_\pm(x), \bar{\psi}_\pm(x)$ which are $sl(2)$ doublets that create the kink particles asymptotically. The fields $\psi_+, \bar{\psi}_+$ are highest weight fields for some additional infinite dimensional Verma module representations.

3.4 Descendents of the Energy-Momentum Tensor

The form factors of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}(x)$ and the $sl(2)$ current $J_\mu^a(x)$ are known [2]. They have the following presentation. Define two operators $\mathcal{O}_T$ and $\mathcal{O}_J^a$, related to $T_{\mu\nu}$ and J_μ^a by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{\mu\mu'} \epsilon_{\nu\nu'} + \epsilon_{\nu\mu'} \epsilon_{\mu\nu'}) \partial_{\mu'} \partial_{\nu'} \mathcal{O}_T(x) \\ J_\mu^a(x) &= \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \mathcal{O}_J^a(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.75)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the antisymmetric tensor. The energy-momentum tensor and $sl(2)$ current can be represented this way since they are conserved. The form factors for $T_{\mu\nu}$ and J_μ^a follow simply from those of $\mathcal{O}_T, \mathcal{O}_J^a$ due to the relation

$$\langle A | \partial_\mu \mathcal{O}(x) | B \rangle = i \left(\sum_{i=1}^m p_\mu(\alpha_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_\mu(\beta_i) \right) \langle A | \mathcal{O}(x) | B \rangle. \quad (4.76)$$

For simplicity we discuss only the two-particle form factors. They take the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_T(0) | \beta_2, \beta_1 \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\zeta(\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{\beta_2 - \beta_1 - i\pi} |\text{singlet}\rangle_{21} \\ \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_J^a(0) | \beta_2, \beta_1 \rangle &= \frac{\zeta(\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{2\pi i} |\text{triplet}; a\rangle_{21},\end{aligned}\tag{4.77}$$

where $\zeta(\beta)$ is the function

$$\zeta(\beta) = \sinh(\beta/2) \exp\left(\int_0^\infty dx \frac{\sin^2(x(\beta + i\pi)/2\pi)}{x \sinh(x) \cosh(x/2)} e^{-x/2}\right).\tag{4.78}$$

The states in (4.77) are

$$\begin{aligned}|\text{singlet}\rangle_{21} &= (|+\rangle \otimes |-\rangle - |-\rangle \otimes |+\rangle) \\ |\text{triplet}; 1, 2, 3\rangle_{21} &= (|-\rangle \otimes |-\rangle - |+\rangle \otimes |+\rangle)/2, \quad i(|-\rangle \otimes |-\rangle + |+\rangle \otimes |+\rangle)/2, \\ &\quad (|+\rangle \otimes |-\rangle + |-\rangle \otimes |+\rangle)/2.\end{aligned}\tag{4.79}$$

Consider now the first descendent of $T_{\mu\nu}$ whose form factors are given by the general formula (4.63). Since $T_{\mu\nu}$ is an $sl(2)$ singlet, its first descendent is a local operator, as indicated by (4.71). One can show by a simple computation that

$$T^a(\beta_1, \beta_2) |\text{singlet}\rangle_{21} = (\beta_2 - \beta_1 - i\pi) |\text{triplet}; a\rangle_{21}.\tag{4.80}$$

Therefore, comparing the 2-particle form factors of this first descendent of $T_{\mu\nu}$ with the form factors of J_μ^a , one derives

$$[Q_1^a, T_{\mu\nu}(x)] = -\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{\mu\alpha} \partial_\alpha J_\nu^a(x) + \epsilon_{\nu\alpha} \partial_\alpha J_\mu^a(x)).\tag{4.81}$$

Introduce the generator L of Lorentz boosts

$$L = -\int dx x T_{00} \quad (t = 0).\tag{4.82}$$

Then the global version of (4.81) is

$$[L, Q_1^a] = -Q_0^a.\tag{4.83}$$

The generator L thus has degree -1 . The equation (4.83) was expected from the fact that on-shell, one has (4.6) and $L = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}$. Thus one concludes that the Yangian must actually

be extended to include the Poincaré algebra with generators L, P_μ in order to realize its full implications. Though we have presented the derivation of (4.81) using only the two particle form factors, the same relation is implied by the general multiparticle form factors.

We consider next the first descendents of J_μ^a . One finds

$$\frac{1}{2} f^{abc} \text{ad}_{Q_1^a} (J_\mu^b(x)) = \mathcal{J}_\mu^c(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} J_\mu^c(x), \quad (4.84)$$

up to possible additional total derivatives. This follows by comparing the braiding of the current $\mathcal{J}^a$ on the right hand side of (4.84) computed from the generalized locality theorem with the braiding of the more abstractly defined current in (4.74), and also by considering the global relation (4.5).

As a final example consider the conserved current and charge

$$\mathcal{N}_\mu(x) = \text{ad}_{Q_1^a} (J_\mu^a(x)) ; \quad N = \int dx \mathcal{N}_t(x). \quad (4.85)$$

In order to characterize this current, we determine the matrix elements of the charge N . Matrix elements of conserved charges can be generally computed as follows. Let $J_\mu(x)$ be a general current for a conserved charge Q , and define $J_\mu(x) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \mathcal{O}(x)$. The operator $\mathcal{O}$ is non-local. The form factors of $\mathcal{O}(x)$ correspond to the partially integrated current

$$\int_{-\infty}^x dy \langle A | J_t(y) | B \rangle = \langle A | \mathcal{O}(x) | B \rangle \quad (4.86a)$$

$$\int_x^\infty dy \langle A | J_t(y) | B \rangle = -\langle A | \mathcal{O}(x) | B \rangle. \quad (4.86b)$$

The matrix elements on the RHS's of (4.86a, b) should be defined by the continuations in (4.66a, b) respectively, since these are consistent with the range of integration. Therefore

$$\langle A | Q | B \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f(A + i\epsilon | B) - f(A - i\epsilon | B), \quad (4.87)$$

where f are the form factors of $\mathcal{O}$. The RHS of (4.87) is non-zero due to the fact that $\mathcal{O}$ is non-local.

Returning to the charge N , let $\mathcal{N}_\mu(x) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N}(x)$. The form factors of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N}$ are computed to be

$$\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{N}(0) | \beta_2, \beta_1 \rangle = -\frac{c_{1/2}}{2\pi i} \zeta(\beta_1 - \beta_2) (\beta_1 - \beta_2 - i\pi) |\text{singlet}\rangle, \quad (4.88)$$

where $c_{1/2} = 3/4$ is the casimir in the spin $1/2$ representation. Using the fact that $\zeta(\beta_1 - \beta_2)$ has a simple pole at $\beta_2 = \beta_1 + i\pi$, and the identity

$$\frac{1}{\beta - i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\beta + i\epsilon} = 2\pi i \delta(\beta), \quad (4.89)$$

we obtain

$$\langle \beta_1, \mp | N | \beta_2, \pm \rangle = \frac{3\pi i}{2} \delta(\beta_1 - \beta_2). \quad (4.90)$$

Thus on one-particle states, N is just proportional to the particle number operator. A similar computation for multiparticle states verifies this identification:

$$N |\beta_n, \dots, \beta_1\rangle = \frac{3\pi i}{2} n |\beta_n, \dots, \beta_1\rangle. \quad (4.91)$$

5. Concluding Remarks

The relation (4.81) expressing the derivative of the $sl(2)$ current as a descendent of the energy-momentum tensor is remarkable. It implies the space-time properties of the theory are closely related to the $sl(2)$ properties. Since the non-local current generating Q_1^a can be expressed in terms of the $sl(2)$ current $J_\mu^a(x)$, (4.81) implies the energy-momentum tensor can be expressed exactly in terms of the $sl(2)$ current also, thereby indicating an exact Sugawara construction in the massive theory. The details of such a construction deserves further study. This idea taken together with the fact that the action (1.1) is expressed only in terms of the current J_μ^a implies that the theory is fully characterized by the current algebra and the Yangian symmetry.

We have shown that the level k of the Kac-Moody symmetry in the conformal model does not enter into the Yangian algebra. This is somewhat paradoxical, since the spectrum of fields in the WZWN model is governed by k . Furthermore, the level k is still defined in the massive theory as appearing in the current commutator

$$[J_t^a(x), J_x^b(0)] = f^{abc} J_x^c(0) \delta(x) - \frac{k}{i\pi} \delta^{ab} \delta'(x). \quad (5.1)$$

The resolution of this puzzle can be found by considering the exact spectrum and S-matrices of the model (1.1) for arbitrary level k , which were proposed in [20]. Similar S-matrices were found for spin-chain realizations of (1.1) in [21]. Here it was found that the spectrum of massive particles $K_{ab}^\pm$, $a, b \in \{0, 1/2, 1, \dots, k/2\}$ is still governed by an integer k , and reflects the spectrum of primary fields of the conformal model S_G it is a

perturbation of. However the S-matrix factorizes into two pieces, one which is the Yangian invariant factor (4.1) for the indices $\pm$ of $K_{ab}^\pm$, the other is characterized by an additional fractional supersymmetry of order k which acts on the indices a, b . Thus the Yangian symmetry is unaffected by varying the level k . Rather, increasing k enlarges the Yangian symmetry to include an independent fractional supersymmetry.

The precise mathematical nature of the field representations of the Yangian should be explored. The mathematics literature has so far focused only on finite dimensional rapidity dependent representations, which are only applicable to on-shell objects. Indeed this partly explains why the precise connection of the Yangian with a deformation of the full Kac-Moody algebra, which arises in the study of its quantum double, was never precisely made before.

The correlation functions are also constrained by the quantum symmetry, and we conclude with a few remarks on this problem. It is evident that the Ward identities are given by

$$\Delta^{(n)}(e_a) \langle 0 | \phi_1(x_1) \cdots \phi_n(x_n) | 0 \rangle = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

This is derived by inserting the generator e_a to the left of the fields in the correlation function and using $\langle 0 | e_a = 0$, with (3.26). This equation can be used to relate correlation functions of various fields related by adjoint action. However by itself, it cannot determine correlation functions. What is missing are the analogs of ‘null-fields’ that are available in the conformal theory. The possibility of such null-fields is a very interesting issue.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully thank D. Bernard, I. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, and M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky for valuable discussions. We wish to thank J. Cardy for the opportunity to visit the Institute in Santa Barbara, where this work was begun. F.S. thanks the Cornell group for hospitality and the MSI at Cornell for financial support. This work was supported in part by the US National Science Foundation under grants no. PHY-8715272, PHY-8904035, and by the US Army.

References

- [1] A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, *Annals Phys.* 120 (1979) 253.
- [2] F. A. Smirnov, in *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Integrable Massive Models of Quantum Field Theory*, Nankai Lectures in Mathematical Physics, Mo-Lin Ge and Bao-Heng Zhao (eds.), World Scientific, Singapore (1990);
A. N. Kirillov and F. A. Smirnov, *Phys. Lett.* 198B (1987) 506; *Zap. Nauch. Semin. LOMI* 164 (1987) 80.
- [3] F. A. Smirnov, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 132 (1990) 415.
- [4] D. Bernard, *Hidden Yangians in 2D Massive Current Algebras*, to appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.*
- [5] D. Bernard and A. LeClair, *Quantum Group Symmetries and Non-Local Currents in 2D QFT*, to appear in *Commun. Math. Phys.*; *Phys. Lett.* B247 (1990) 309.
- [6] V. G. Drinfel'd, *Sov. Math. Dokl.* 32 (1985) 254; *Sov. Math. Dokl.* 36 (1988) 212.
- [7] M. Jimbo, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 10 (1985) 63; *Lett. Math. Phys.* 11 (1986) 247; *Commun. Math. Phys.* 102 (1986) 537.
- [8] M. Lüscher, *Nucl. Phys.* B135 (1978) 1.
- [9] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov, and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys.* B241 (1984) 333.
- [10] C. Gómez and G. Sierra, *Phys. Lett.* 240B, (1990), 149; 'The Quantum Symmetry of Rational Conformal Field Theory', to appear in *Nucl. Phys. B*.
- [11] M. Karowski and H. J. Thun, *Nucl. Phys.* B190 (1981) 61.
- [12] V.G. Knizhnik and A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys.* B297 (1984) 83.
- [13] D. Gepner and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* B278 (1986) 493.
- [14] V. G. Drinfel'd, 'Quantum Groups', *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Berkeley, CA, 1986.
- [15] L. Faddeev, N. Reshetikhin, and L. Takhtajan, in *Braid Group, Knot Theory and Statistical Mechanics*, C . N. Yang and M. L. Ge (ed.), World Scientific, 1989.
- [16] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, private communication.
- [17] V. G. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [18] M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 17 (1983) 259; *Publ. RIMS* 21 (1985) 1237.
- [19] E. Sklyanin, 'On Complete Integrability of the Landau-Lifshitz equation', Leningrad preprint LOMI (1980).
- [20] C. Ahn, D. Bernard, and A. LeClair, *Nucl. Phys.* B346 (1990) 409.
- [21] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *S-Matrices in Integrable Models of Isotropic Magnetic Chains*, Harvard preprint HUTMP-90-B292, 1990.